

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20251 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,839	10/11/2001	Andrew Egendorf	7178-207	4483
27383	7590 10/22/2002			
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP			EXAMINER	
200 PARK A NEW YORK		FELTEN, DANIEL S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		. ,	3624	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/975,839

Egendorf

Examiner

Daniel Felten

Art Unit 3624



·					
		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	•			
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 13, 2	2002			
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims	·			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>31-45</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔯	Claim(s) 31-45	is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 accepted or b) 🗆 objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) [.] [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a)[n				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm					
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🔲 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 lmf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Serial Number: 09/975,839 Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40) Page 2

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Schaefer (26,802)

DETAILED ACTION

2 1. Receipt of the remarks regarding the Office Action mailed dated July 16, 2002 is

- acknowledged. In consideration of the remarks made toward the ownership of the Egendorf
- 4 '489 application, the 103(a) rejection using the Egendorf '489 application is hereby rescinded.
- However, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-45 are considered moot in view of
- 6 the new ground(s) of rejection.

1

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 31-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silver et
- 21 al (hereinafter "Silver", US 5,146,491) and Carngie Mellon University Information
- Networking Institute, "Internet Billing Server Prototype Scope Document INI Technical
- 23 Report 1993-1" (October 14, 1993) (hereinafter "IBS") in view of each other.

Serial Number: 09/975,839 Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40) Page 3

Representative: Schaefer (26,802)

Art Unit: 3624

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

As in claims 1, 32, 44, 45, Silver discloses a telephone billing method for a plurality 2 of customers and plurality of vendors of products or services for transactions between a 3 plurality of vendors of products or services for transactions between a purchasing customer, of the plurality of customers and a selling vendor of the plurality of vendors (see Silver, col. 1, 11. 60-66; col. 2, 11. 14-26),

wherein, for each purchase transaction between the purchasing customer and the selling vendor, a first amount is charged to the purchasing customer and second amount is remitted to the selling vendor (see Silver col. 1, 1l. 23-34), the method comprising the steps by a third party to the purchase transaction of:

- (a) establishing a billing agreement with the purchasing customer to permit the third party to charge the purchasing customer and to remit a selling vendor for a purchase transaction (see Silver, col. 1, 11, 23-28);
- (b) establishing a remitting agreement with the purchasing customer to permit the third party to charge the purchasing customer and to remit to a selling vendor for a purchase transaction (see Silver, col. 1, ll. 23-28);
- (c) receiving authorization over the Internet from the purchasing customer to charge the first amount to the purchasing customer without previously receiving a request from the selling vendor to charge the first amount to the purchasing customer (see Silver, col. 1, ll. 35-47);

Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40) Page 4

Serial Number: 09/975,839 Representative: Schaefer (26,802) Art Unit: 3624

(d) charging the first amount to the purchasing customer in accordance with the billing agreement (see Silver, col. 2, ll. 15-27); and 2

- (e) remitting the second amount to the selling vendor in accordance with the remitting agreement (see Silver, col. 2, ll. 15-27).
- as in claim 33, the communications link comprises a communications link through 5 equipment of the third party (see Silver col. 1, 11. 60-66). 6

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

- as in claims 34-36, wherein no credit card account number of the purchasing customer and no bank account number of the purchasing customer is transmitted by the third party to the selling vendor prior to the step of remitting (see Silver, col. col. 3, ll. 16-31)
- as in claim 37, wherein the third party is a cable television company, a company offering financial services, and Internet access provider, or a telephone company (see Silver, Abstract).
- as in claim 38, the step of obtaining approval for charging the first amount from a party other than the purchasing customer and selling vendor prior to the step of charging (see Silver, col. 1, ll. 22-27).
- as in claim 39, the party other than the purchasing customer and selling vendor is a 16 bank, a company offering financial services, a credit card company, an Internet access 17 provider, or the third party (see Silver, col. 1, 11. 23-34). 18
 - as in claim 40, the step of charging comprises sending a bill or charging an account with a bank, a cable television company, an company offering financial services, a credit card

Serial Number: 09/975,839

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40)

Representative: Schaefer (26,802)

company, an Internet access provider, a telephone company, or a third party (see Silver, col.

- 2 1, ll. 35-41).
- as in claim 41, the remitting comprises sending a check or crediting an account with a
- bank, cable television company, a company offering financial services, a credit card company,
- an Internet access provider, a telephone company, or a third party (see Silver, col. 2, ll. 14-
- 6 26).
- as in claim 42, the second amount is less than the first amount (see Silver, col. 2, 11.
- *8* 14-27).

11

- as in claim 43, the step of remitting is performed before the step of charging (see
- 10 Silver, col. 4, 11. 13+).
 - IBS discloses an Internet billing Server that can provide billing and advertisement
- (directory) services over the Internet between customers and vendors (see whole article).
- In view of the IBS's, teaching it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time the
- invention was made to substitute the Internet Billing Server ("IBS") for the notoriously old and
- well known Billing computer disclosed in Silver because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art
- would have recognized that such a modification would have constituted a substitution of art
- recognized equivalents in as much as both the IBS and the Billing computer provide a user
- access to information/services over a network and charging a user for services rendered by the
- system and billing for items/services made with a particular vendor. Therefore such a

Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40) Page 6 Serial Number: 09/975,839

Representative: Schaefer (26,802)

Art Unit: 3624

modification would have constituted an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

On the other hand, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of IBS to integrate/implement the telephone billing method of Silver because an artisan at the time of the invention would recognize the simplicity and convenience of utilizing the Silver method of receiving goods and services over a network, whereby the address and billing information is automatically received by the system so that the user need not to enter such information when using the system, and that charges due to system usage are considered separate from customer purchases made from a vendor through the system. Thus such methods

would have been an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

Page 7 Serial Number: 09/975,839 Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40)

Representative: Schaefer (26,802)

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 4. 3

- should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The
- examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday. 5
- Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should 6
- be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor 7
- Vincent Millin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065. 8

1

2

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5. Response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO

employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly

Serial Number: 09/975,839

Applicant(s): Egendorf (705/40)

Page 8

Representative: Schaefer (26,802)

Art Unit: 3624

set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and

Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

DSF

October 17, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**